



Execution Quality Summary Statement for the Year 2022 on Execution Arrangements for Contracts for Differences (CFDs)

1. Purpose

Liteforex (Europe) Limited (hereinafter the “Company”) is a Cyprus Investment Firm (CIF) licensed and regulated by the Cyprus Securities and Exchange Commission (hereinafter “CySEC”) under the license number 093/08.

This Execution Quality Summary Statement (hereinafter the “EQSS”) of the Company is a statement that is required to disclose to its Clients under the Regulation EU 2017/576 of 8 June 2016 supplementing Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, with regard to regulatory technical standards for annual publication by investment firms of information on the identity of execution venues and on the quality of execution.

The EQSS is a summary of the analysis and conclusions the Company drew from its detailed monitoring of the quality of execution obtained on the execution venues where it executed all Clients’ orders during the year 2022 (hereinafter the “period under review”).

The Company urges its Clients and/or potential Clients to read the EQSS carefully as it contains information as regards the execution venues used by the Company in relation to the asset class of Contracts for Differences (CFDs).

The EQSS along with the accompanying tables will remain on the Company’s website for at least two years post its publication.

This document is solely for own use and cannot be published elsewhere without the written consent of the Company.

2. Relative Importance of Execution Factors during the Period under Review

The Company when executing Clients’ Orders is required under the relevant regulatory framework to assign relative importance on the following execution factors:

1. Price - *highest importance*;
2. Cost - *highest importance*;
3. Speed of execution - *high importance*;
4. Likelihood of execution - *medium importance*;
5. Likelihood of settlement - *medium importance*;
6. Size of order - *medium importance*;
7. Nature of order - *medium importance*;
8. Market impact - *medium importance*.

For more details on the assessment of each factor when executing Clients’ orders please refer to the Company’s [Best Execution Policy](#). During the period under review the Company offered services to the following categories of Clients:

Retail Clients and Professional Clients - for these Clients, the Company, by virtue of the applicable regulatory framework, is required to provide the best execution in accordance with total consideration whereby total consideration represents the price of the Financial Instrument and the costs related to execution, which include all expenses incurred by the Client and which are directly related to the execution of the order.

The Company treats the Clients' orders without any differentiation on the Client categories. Retail and Professional Clients are falling under the scope of the aforesaid Regulations.

3. Execution Venues during the Period under Review

The Company's execution venues during the period under review were:

| No | Name of Execution Venue | Country of Establishment | Commencement Date of the Business Relationship with the Company | End Date of the Business Relationship with the Company |
|----|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | IS Prime Ltd | United Kingdom | April 2018 | N/A |
| 3 | JFD Brokers Ltd | Cyprus | November 2015 | N/A |
| 4 | CFH Clearing Ltd | United Kingdom | September 2019 | N/A |

The Company does not have close links or common ownership structures with any of the aforementioned execution venues.

4. Change of Execution Venues during the Period under Review

The Company notes that during the year under review it did not change the list of execution venues.

5. Conflicts of Interest

As mentioned in section 3 above the Company does not maintain close links and/or common ownership structures with any of the aforementioned execution venues.

The Company lists the possible conflicts of interest it is facing (inclusive of conflicts of interest in relation to the execution venues it uses) as well as the relative mitigation measures in its [Conflict of Interest Policy](#).

6. Payments or Non-Monetary Benefits made or received to/by Execution Venues

During the period under review, the Company's arrangements with its liquidity providers stipulated that the Company does not receive any money or non-monetary benefits from the liquidity providers for the execution of Clients' orders. The Company pays its liquidity providers a fee based on trading volume that does not create a conflict of interest.

The Company generates its revenues from commissions paid by its Clients' upon initiating a trading order. The Company's costs and charges are listed on the Company's website.

7. Tools and Data used during the Period under Review

The Company during the period under review deployed a number of methodologies and tools in order to monitor, and where applicable adjust, its execution parameters in order to ensure consistency with

its overarching obligation to offer the best possible result for its Clients' orders. In broad terms the Company monitors on an ongoing basis its execution arrangements by selecting appropriate samples of orders executed and evaluates the samples in accordance with the following dimensions:

- a) Evaluating the Company's execution venues in accordance with the following:
 1. Pricing frequency (price updates in real time)
 2. Speed of execution
 3. Frequency and duration of price freezing
 4. Depth of liquidity
 5. Pricing transparency
 6. Slippage frequency, etc.
- b) The technological prowess of the Company systems (responsiveness of interface, lag, integration with the IT systems of the execution venues, etc.).
- c) The Company uses in-house tools to monitor slippage, on a regular basis, to ensure that it is symmetric (i.e. balance between positive and negative slippages) and fair.

8. Review of Execution Monitoring - Control Functions

The Company's brokerage department produces reports on a monthly basis with the evaluation process described above (please refer to Section 7) and if actions are required to liaise with the Company's Senior Management for the next steps.

The Company's control functions (Compliance and Internal Audit) scrutinise the monitoring procedure conducted by the brokerage department and the actions taken by the Company's Senior Management. The Company's control functions present their findings to the Company's Board of Directors at least annually, for further scrutiny and actions.

9. Execution Venues Report

The information presented below refers to the top Execution Venues in terms of trading volumes used by the Company for executing the orders of Retail and Professional Clients under the STP model. The information refers to the Asset Class of CFDs for the year 2022.

| Information on the top execution venues during the year 2022 (Retail Clients) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Class of Instrument | Contracts for Difference (CFDs) | | | | |
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous quarter | N | | | | |
| Top execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage | Proportion of orders executed as percentage | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |

| | of total in that class | of total in that class | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|------|----|----|
| FINALTO FINANCIAL SERVICES LIMITED 549300FSY1BKNGVUOR59 | 80.25 % | 72.40% | 100% | 0% | 0% |
| IS Prime Ltd 549300F62BRRDZKCUZ58 | 19.75% | 27.60% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| JFD Brokers Ltd 213800AOC8U3XBYIRD50 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

| Information on the top execution venues during the year 2022 (Professional Clients) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Class of Instrument | Contracts for Difference (CFDs) | | | | |
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous quarter | N | | | | |
| Top execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| FINALTO FINANCIAL SERVICES LIMITED 549300FSY1BKNGVUOR59 | 99.77% | 94.54% | 100% | 0% | 0% |
| IS Prime Ltd 549300F62BRRDZKCUZ58 | 0.23% | 5.46% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| JFD Brokers Ltd 213800AOC8U3XBYIRD50 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |